Evolution 101

Lesson 18

The masters of our public schools are debasing Christian students by having imposed on them a doctrine that challenges, denigrates and ridicules their religious beliefs. The heirs of black slaves are enjoying freedom today after the slaughter of hundreds of thousands of lives to win it for them. Yet, Christian children and their parents have less religious liberty and free exercise of religion today than what their ancestors enjoyed at the founding of our nation.

Any high school student knows that evolution cannot accommodate Adam and Eve as real people. If Adam and Eve were not real people and did not bring sin and death into the world, there is no need for a Savior and Christ was only a martyr, not our Redeemer.

It is time for our pastors to pay attention to what our atheist enemies have to say about macroevolution. The battle with them demands resistance, not surrender with the meek accom-modation that Genesis need not be taken literally. Is this not blasphemy? We have television preachers' conced-ing the point. Even the good pope holds out for sure only for the creation of man's soul by God.

Sir Julian Huxley, prominent evolutionist, spoke at the 1959 Chicago Darwinian centennial, "Evolution had no room for the supernatural. The earth and its inhabitants were not created, they evolved. Later he added, We all accept the fact of evolution. The evolution of life is no longer a theory. It is a fact. It is the basis of all our thinking."

A typical biology textbook states, "All reputable biologists have agreed that the evolution of life on earth is an established fact." Public educators are nearly unanimous in their acceptance of evolutionary doctrine. One university president proclaimed, "It takes an overwhelming prejudice to refuse to accept the facts; anyone who is exposed to the evidence supporting evolution must recognize it as an historical fact." Carl Sagan, TV producer of Cosmos, proclaims, "Evo-lution is no longer a theory; it is a fact."

Is that so? The dictionary defines a fact as: (1) Something known with certainty (2) Something that has been objectively verified. (3) Something that has a real, demonstrable existence.

G.A. Kerkut, University of South Hampton says, "There are seven basic assumptions that are often not men-tioned during discussions of evolution which by their nature are not capable of experimental verification."

Theodosuis Dobzhansky, a promin-ent biologist, then complains, "And yet, it is just such impossibility that is demanded by anti-evolutionists when they ask for 'proofs' of evolution." In other words, evolution is a faith system.

Dr. Colin Patterson of the British Museum of Natural History stated recently after giving up on evolution, "Evolution not only conveys no knowl- edge but seems somehow to convey anti-knowledge." Since science means knowledge, anti-knowledge means anti-science.

W.R. Thompson, F.R.S., writes in the foreword to the 1967 edition of Charles Darwin's **Origin of Species**: "As we know, there is a great diver-gence of opinion among biologists, not only about the causes of evolution but even about the actual process. This Di-vergence exists because the evidence is unsatisfactory and does not permit any certain conclusion. It is therefore right and proper to draw attention to the non-scientific public to the disagree-ments about evolution. But some recent remarks of evolutionists show they think this is unreasonable. This situation, where men rally to the defense of a doctrine they are unable to defend scientifically, much less demonstrate with scientific rigor, attempting to maintain its credit with the public by the suppression of criticism and the elimination of difficulties, is abnormal and undesir-able in science."

Tom Bethell, writing in Harpers Magazine, says, "Darwin's theory is on the verge of collapse and has been quietly abandoned, even by his most ardent supporters, some years ago."

Harrison Matthews, F.R.S., wrote in the foreword to the most recent edition of Darwin's **Origin of Species:** "Belief in evolution is thus exactly parallel to belief in special creation both are concepts which believers know to be true but neither, up to the present, has been capable of proof."

Norman Macbeth, attorney and author of the best-selling book, **Darwin Retried** writes from the perspective of a non Christian lawyer: "The proponents of a theory, in science or elsewhere, are obligated to support every link in the chain of reasoning, whereas the critic or skeptic may peck at any aspect of the theory, testing it for flaws. He is not obligated to set up any theory of his own or to offer any alternative explanations."

John Thomas Scopes, the famous Monkey Trial defendant, contended: "Education, you know, means broad-ening, advancing, and if you limit a teacher to only one side of anything the whole country eventually will have only one thought, be one individual. I believe in teaching every aspect of every problem or theory."

His famous attorney, Clarence Darrow, commented, "It is bigotry to teach only one theory of origins." Both wanted evolution taught in our schools along with creation.

Should not a Christian expect his church to help in his encounter with Caesar in the public domain? The hereafter is important but so is the here and now. Jesus commanded us *to "Render Unto Caesar."* Does that mean only to pay your taxes and not engage in the political process?

Our pastors seem to be afraid to lead out in political activity. They may not wish to offend members who have succumbed in some measure to the brainwashing in macroevolution. Most of all, they are scared of the IRS. They fear losing their precious tax exemption if they make too much fuss.

Alert Christians should know that church members may privately organ-ize a political action committee to do anything political that any other group can do. Where are our denominational leaders on this issue? If the churches really wanted to turn public education around and make it Christian friendly, all they would need to do is to get their members involved and take over the boards of trustees.

In the meantime Christians could make it clear to their elected representatives in Congress that they will never volunteer or submit to a draft to serve in the armed forces until this indoctrination in atheistic evolution has been corrected. How can one be expected to love his country when it hates and persecutes his religion?

FineTunedUniverse.com